

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,058	10/26/2001	Alexander I. Krymski	08305-087002	9431
75	90 02/25/2004	EXAMINER		
	oiro Morin & Oshins	LUU, THANH X		
Attn: Thomas J. D'Amico 2101 L Street NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D		2878		

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					_ /				
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	Co				
Office Action Summary		10/040,05	8	KRYMSKI ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Thanh X L		2878					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported by the second section apported by the second seco	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres:	S				
THE   - Exte after   - If the   - If NC   - Failu   - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no eve ly within the statu will apply and wi e, cause the appl	int, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commur (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 D</u>	December 20	<u>003</u> .	•					
2a)⊠	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3,4,7,9,10,13-15 and 20-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 7,9,10,23 and 24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,3,4,13-15 and 20-22 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	ion Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	_	$\square$ objected to by the ${\tt E}$	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-19	52.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest ince a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78.  1) The translation of the foreign language processing the process of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document i	ts have bee ts have bee ority docume u (PCT Rule of the certific priority ur est sentence ovisional ap ic priority ur	n received. In received in Applications have been received in Ents have been received in 17.2(a)). If it is in the copies not received in the specification or plication has been received in the specification or in the specification.	on No  ed in this National Stag  ed.  e) (to a provisional app  in an Application Data  eived.  and/or 121 since a sp	dication) a Sheet. ecific				
Attachmen									
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	·		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/040,058 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1, 3, 4, 13-15 and 20-22 in Paper No. 12/01/2003 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no serious burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because a search involving one species would not turn up results for the other species.

Thus, the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 13-15 and 20-24 are currently pending. Claims 7, 9, 10, 23 and 24 have been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 4, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakamura et al. (U.S. Patent 6,507,365).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 4, 20 and 22, Nakamura et al. disclose (see Figures 15 and 16) an active pixel sensor, comprising: a photoreceptor (31a or 31b); a frame shutter (see Figure 16) wherein the frame shutter is an NMOS frame shutter in a P-well (91); and an active pixel readout (33, 34). Nakamura et al. also disclose (see Figures

Application/Control Number: 10/040,058 Page 3

Art Unit: 2878

15 and 16) the frame shutter includes sample and hold (32a, 32b) and reset (35) circuits. Nakamura et al. also disclose (see Figure 15) source follower (33) and row select transistors (34). The sample circuit being directly connected to the readout circuit.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 13-15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. in view of Yang et al. ("A Snap-Shot CMOS Active Pixel Imager for Low-Noise, High-Speed Imaging", published December 1998).

Regarding claims 13-15 and 21, Nakamura et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. Nakamura et al. do not specifically disclose a pinned photodiode. Yang et al. teach (see column 1) that active pixel sensors may also comprise pinned photodiode pixels. Thus, Yang et al. recognize that pinned photodiodes are typical in the art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a pinned photodiode in the apparatus of Nakamura et al. in view of Yang et al. as desired for improved response.

#### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,058 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X. Luu whose telephone number is (571) 272-2441. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta, can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,058

Art Unit: 2878

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

txl

January 29, 2004

Thanh X. Luu

Primary Examiner

Page 5